2590 Short Reports

and 119, which could readily be assigned as shown in Scheme 1.

Derivatives of anthranilic acid have not so far been reported from the Compositae.

## EXPERIMENTAL

Dried aerial parts (leaves and stems 3 kg) of *Inula oculus-christi* collected in the flowering season, were extracted with MeOH and after evaporation the residue was chromatographed on a Si gel column. Elution with petrol-CHCl<sub>3</sub> (1:4) gave crude crystals of gaillardin (70 mg), and with CHCl<sub>3</sub> gave pulchellin C (50mg). Their structures were established by comparing the <sup>3</sup>H NMR spectra with those of authentic material. Further elution with CHCl<sub>3</sub>-MeOH (23:2) gave crude crystals of 1 (40 mg) which were recrys-

tallized from Me<sub>2</sub>CO-petrol, mp 91-93°. IR  $\nu_{\text{max}}^{KBr}$  cm<sup>-1</sup>: 1600, 1490, 1450 and 750 (*ortho* substituted benzene ring), 3350, 1707 and 1690 (-CO<sub>2</sub>H and -CON $\langle$ ), MS (70 eV): 459.371 [M]', (1) ( $C_{29}H_{49}O_3N$ ), 441.359 [M - H<sub>2</sub>O] (12), 179 (2, 20%), 161 (3, 100%), 137 (4, 71%) and 119 (5, 15%).

### REFERENCES

- Kupchan, S. M., Cassady, J. M., Kelsey, J. E., Schnoes, N. K., Smith, D. H. and Burlingame, A. L. (1966) J. Am. Chem. Soc. 88, 5292.
- Kiseleva, E. Y., Cheitchenko, V. I., Ribalko, K. S., Chreter, A. I. and Pakaln, D. A. (1969) Khim. Prir. Soedin. 5, 444.
- Currie, M. and Sim, G. A. (1973) J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 2, 400.

Phytochemistry, Vol. 21, No. 10, pp. 2590-2592, 1982. Printed in Great Britain.

0031-9422/82/102590--03\$03.00/0 © 1982 Pergamon Press Ltd.

# MONO- AND SESQUITERPENOIDS FROM HYDROCOTYLE AND CENTELLA SPECIES

YOSHINORI ASAKAWA, REIKO MATSUDA and TSUNEMATSU TAKEMOTO

Institute of Pharmacognosy, Tokushima Bunri University, Yamashiro-cho, 770 Tokushima, Japan

(Received 1 March 1982)

**Key Word Index**—*Hydrocotyle sibthorpioides*; *H. maritima*; *Centella asiatica*; Umbelliferae; monoterpenoids; sesquiterpenoids; allelopathy; chemosystematics.

Abstract—Hydrocotyle sibthorpioides, H. maritima and Centella asiatica were investigated for their terpenoid constituents. The major component of H. sibthorpioides and H. maritima is trans- $\beta$ -farnesene. The latter species also elaborates  $\alpha$ -terpinene and thymol methyl ether in respectable amount. The sesquiterpenoid constitution of C. asiatica is rather similar to H. maritima. Possible allelopathy between H. sibthorpioides and a liverwort is suggested.

## INTRODUCTION

Hydrocotyle and Centella species (Umbelliferae) produce characteristic essential oils throughout the whole plant. It is known that H. sibthorpioides Lam. and H. maritima Honda have hemostatic and antitumor activities[1]. The latter species contains a flavonoid glycoside, hyperin[2]. Centella asiatica Urb. (H. asiatica L.) which is morphologically close to Hydrocotyle species produces biologically active triterpenoids with possible therapeutical use in ulcerations, extensive wounds and eczemas etc.[3–10].

Whenever H. sibthorpioides grows near the liverwort, Marchantia polymorpha, in the greenhouse the liverwort gradually dies. It is suggested that H. sib-

thorpioides and its related species may produce chemicals which inhibit the growth of liverworts. As part of a systematic study of biologically active terpenoids of plants, we have studied the chemical constituents of *H. sibthorpioides*, *H. maritima* and *C. asiatica*. In the present paper, we wish to report the distribution of mono- and sesquiterpenoids in the above three species.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Whole plants of H. sibthorpioides and C. asiatica were extracted with diethyl ether after being air-dried and ground. H. maritima was divided into leaf, stem and flower and each part was treated in the same

Short Reports 2591

Table 1. Terpenoids of Hydrocotyle sibthorpioides and H. maritima

|             |                               | H. sibtho | rpioides | 1     | H. maritima |        |
|-------------|-------------------------------|-----------|----------|-------|-------------|--------|
| Peak<br>no. | Terpenoids                    | T*(%)‡    | Y†(%)    | Leaf  | Stem<br>(%) | Flower |
| 1           | α-Pinene                      | 3.0       | 4.2      | 1.3   | 3.9         | 4.0    |
| 2           | Camphene                      | 1.0       | 0.8      | Trace | 0.6         | 0.7    |
| 3           | $\beta$ -Pinene               | 5.1       | 7.2      | Trace | 1.6         | 8.2    |
| 4           | Ocimene                       | 0.4       | 0.6      | -     |             |        |
| 5           | Myrcene                       | _         |          | Trace | 1.6         | 1.3    |
| 6           | Limonene                      | _         |          | Trace | 0.8         | 0.7    |
| 7           | α-Terpinene                   | _         |          | 7.3   | 16.4        | 14.0   |
| 8           | Thymol methyl ether           | _         | _        | 10.0  | 23.0        | 11.2   |
| 9           | β-Caryophyllene               | 2.3       | 2.8      | 6.4   | 3.0         | 4.7    |
| 10          | α-Humulene                    | 1.8       | 2.3      | _     |             |        |
| 11          | trans-β-Farnesene             | 24.6      | 32.5     | 20.9  | 13.0        | 31.0   |
| 12          | Germacrene D                  |           |          | 1.4   | 1.4         | 0.2    |
| 13          | M <sup>+</sup> ? (base 55)    |           |          |       | 0.4         | 0.5    |
| 14          | M <sup>+</sup> ? (base 55)    | 16.9      | 13.2     | _     | _           | _      |
| 15          | Phytol                        | 0.8       | 0.3      | _     | 0.6         | 0.3    |
| 16          | M <sup>+</sup> 384 (base 131) | _         |          |       |             | 0.3    |
| 17          | $M^+$ ? (55)                  | 0.8       | 0.3      |       |             | _      |
| 18          | M <sup>+</sup> ? (83)         |           | _        | —     | _           | 0.5    |
| 19          | $M^+$ ? (43)                  | 1.4       | 1.5      | _     | _           | _      |
| 20          | $M^+$ ? (57)                  | 0.2       | 0.4      |       |             | _      |
| 21          | M <sup>+</sup> ? (131)        | 0.5       | 0.5      | 1.1   | Trace       | 2.2    |
| 22          | M <sup>+</sup> ? (131)        | 2.5       | 1.4      | 1.5   | Trace       | 1.0    |
| 23          | M <sup>+</sup> 354 (149)      | _         |          |       | _           | 1.0    |
| 24          | Stigmasterol                  | 13.5      | 6.6      | _     |             | 2.8    |
| 25          | Stigmasterol isomer           | 7.4       | 3.5      | 3.6   | 4.0         | 0.7    |

<sup>\*</sup>Collected in Tokushima.

Table 2. Terpenoids of Centella asiatica

| Peak no. | Terpenoids                   | 0.2   |  |
|----------|------------------------------|-------|--|
| 1        | α-Pinene                     |       |  |
| 2        | β-Pinene                     | 0.2   |  |
| 3        | Myrcene                      | 0.6   |  |
| 4        | γ-Terpinene                  | 0.4   |  |
| 5        | Bornyl acetate               | 0.2   |  |
| 6        | α-Copaene                    | 0.9   |  |
| 7        | β-Elemene                    | 3.0   |  |
| 8        | β-Caryophyllene              | 12.5  |  |
| 9        | trans-β-Farnesene            | 17.7  |  |
| 10       | Germacrene-D                 | 16.0  |  |
| 11       | M <sup>+</sup> 204 (base 93) | 1.3   |  |
| 12       | Bicycloelemene               | 2.3   |  |
| 13       | M <sup>+</sup> 236 (123)     | 0.2   |  |
| 14       | M <sup>+</sup> 274 (157)     | 36.4  |  |
| 15       | M <sup>+</sup> 274 (129)     | 0.5   |  |
| 16       | $M^{+}$ 274 (43)             | 0.5   |  |
| 17       | M <sup>+</sup> 410 (69)      | Trace |  |
| 18       | $M^+$ ? (57)                 | 0.3   |  |
| 19       | Campesterol                  | 0.2   |  |
| 20       | Stigmasterol                 | 0.2   |  |
| 21       | Sitosterol                   | 0.2   |  |

<sup>\*</sup>Relative abundance estimated by GC equipped with computer.

manner as above. Each extract was filtered and the filtrate monitored by TLC and GC before analysis by computerized GC/MS. The components were identified by GC coinjection of standard compounds and direct comparison of mass spectra with those of authentic samples. The major components were further isolated by prep. GC and their structures were confirmed by spectral evidences. Tables 1 and 2 show the compounds detected in each species and in each part of H. maritima.

The three species all contained mono- and sesquiterpene hydrocarbons. The major components of H. sibthorpioides are trans-\beta-farnesene and an unidentified sesquiterpene (peak 14). H. maritima produces trans- $\beta$ -farnesene,  $\alpha$ -terpinene and thymol methyl ether as the major components. The latter two components have not been detected in H. sibthorpioides and C. asiatica. H. sibthorpioides and H. maritima produce the same unidentified aromatic compounds (peaks 21 and 22) and an isomer of stigmasterol (peak 24). Thus, H. sibthorpioides is chemically close to H. maritima except for the presence of α-terpinene and thymol methyl ether. There is no chemical difference between samples of H. sibthorpioides collected in different localities. The leaf, stem and flower of H. maritima contain the same major terpenoids. The major component of C. asiatica is an unidentified terpenic acetate [peak 14; M<sup>+</sup> 274 (base

<sup>†</sup>Collected in Yamaguchi.

<sup>‡</sup>Relative abundance estimated by GC equipped with a computer.

157)].  $\beta$ -Caryophyllene,  $trans-\beta$ -farnesene and germacrene D have been detected in C. asiatica in respectable amount. Although C. asiatica and H. maritima produce three common sesquiterpene hydrocarbons, the chemistry of the two species differs considerably. Previously, C. asiatica was included in the Hydrocotyle. Phytochemistry supports the classification of C. asiatica (H. asiatica) in a separate genus, Centella.

The liverwort, Marchantia polymorpha gradually dies in the presence of H. sibthorpioides. It is assumed that the volatile terpenoid constituents characterized in this study inhibit the growth of liverworts.

#### **EXPERIMENTAL**

GC/MS spectra were obtained under the following conditions: electrical energy 20 and 70 eV; trap current  $60~\mu$  A; temp.  $80\text{-}250^\circ$ ; GC column: 5% SE-30,  $3~\text{m}\times2~\text{mm}$  glass column; temp.  $50\text{-}270^\circ$  at  $5^\circ$ /min, injection temp.  $260^\circ$ , He 30 ml/min. TLC and prep. TLC: precoated Si gel (0.25~mm) F<sub>254</sub>, n-hexane, n-hexane-EtOAc (4:1) and C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>6</sub>-EtOAc (4:1) as solvents. Spots were detected by 30% H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> at  $120^\circ$ . I<sub>2</sub> vapour and UV light (254~nm). Analytical and prep. GC: 5% SE-30,  $3~\text{m}\times2~\text{mm}$  and 5% DEGS glass column, temp.  $40\text{-}260^\circ$  at  $5^\circ$ /min, injection temp.  $250^\circ$ , N<sub>2</sub> 30~ml/min.

Plant materials. Hydrocotyle sibthorpioides Lam.. H. maritima Honda and Centella asiatica Urb. identified by Y. A. and T. T. were deposited in the Herbarium of the Institute of Pharmacognosy, Tokushima Bunri University. The plant materials were collected in the following locations and months. H. sibthorpioides: grown in the greenhouse of the Botanical Garden, Tokushima Bunri University, May 1980, July 1981; Yanai-shi, Yamaguchi, Japan, October 1981. H. maritima: Botanical Garden of Tokushima Bunri Uni-

versity, June 1981; Okinoyama-cho, Tokushima, May-July 1981. Centella asiatica: Botanical Garden, Tokushima Bunri University, May 1981.

Extraction and isolation. H. sibthorpioides, H. maritima and C. asiatica (20 g) were extracted with Et<sub>2</sub>O for 1 week, after being air-dried for 2 days and then ground. The extracts were filtered through a short column packed with Si gel and solvent was evaporated in vacuo at 25°. The oils were immediately diluted with Et<sub>2</sub>O to a suitable conen and then analysed by TLC, GC and GC/MS equipped with a computer. The remaining materials were also extracted with Et<sub>2</sub>O for 3 weeks in order to isolate terpenoids by prep. TLC and GC, after being chromatographed on Si gel (70-270 mesh) using a n-hexane-EtOAc gradient.

#### REFERENCES

- Miyata, S. (1981) Anticancer Crude Drugs and their Prescription pp. 185-186. Kagakushoin, Tokyo (in Japanese).
- Nakaoki, T. and Morita, N. (1960) Yakugaku Zasshi 80, 1474.
- 3. Pasich, B., Kowalewski, Z. and Socha, A. (1968) Diss. Pharm. Pharmacol. 20, 69.
- Malhotra, C. L., Das, P. K., Sastry, M. S. and Dhalla, N. S. (1961) *Indian J. Pharm.* 23, 106.
- Boiteau, P. and Chanez, M. (1967) C. R. Acad. Sci. Ser. D. 264, 407.
- 6. Dutta, T. and Basu, L. P. (1967) Indian J. Chem. 5, 586.
- Pinhas, H. and Bondiou, J. C. (1967) Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr. 1888.
- 8. Singh, B. and Rastogi, R. P. (1968) Phytochemistry 7, 1385.
- 9. Dutta, T. and Basu, V. P. (1968) Indian J. Chem. 6, 543.
- Pinhas, H., Billet, D. and Heitz, S. (1970) Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr. 1890.